



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. Bernard R. Brodeur 047998/0197 3090 09/684,883 10/06/2000 7590 **EXAMINER** 22428 03/30/2004 FOLEY AND LARDNER NAVARRO, ALBERT MARK SUITE 500 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------|---------------------|
| 09/684,883 | BRODEUR, BERNARD R. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Mark Navarro | 1645 |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

| | MARK NAVARRO |
|-------------------------------|--|
| 10. | Other: |
| 9. | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) |
| 8. | The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. |
| | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>91-123, 126, 131-132, 138-169</u> . |
| | Claim(s) rejected: <u>124,127-130,133-137,170-174,178,180 and 181</u> . |
| | Claim(s) objected to: <u>125,175-177 and 179</u> . |
| | Claim(s) allowed: |
| | The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: |
| 7.🖂 | For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. |
| 6. | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. |
| 5. | The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: |
| 4. | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). |
| 3. | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): |
| ` ' | NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. |
| (d) | they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. |
| (c) | they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or |
| (b) | they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); |
| (a) | they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); |
| 2.🛛 | The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: |
| | A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 March 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. |
| nave bed 37 CFR b) abov | ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in e, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |
| b) [| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). |
| a) [| |
| =xamı | PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] |
| conditi | ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued nation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. |

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/684,883

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants newly submitted claims reciting that the polypeptide is capable of eliciting antibodies that are specific to the polypeptide, and capable of eliciting bacteriolytic antibodies against Neisseria meningitidis, would require a new search and consideration, and accordingly has not been entered.